



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov		
	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	\$1022.81038U\$00	2710

APPLICATION NO.

10/658,215

09/09/2003

FILING DATE

Francois Roy

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

23628

7590

07/01/2004

WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, PC FEDERAL RESERVE PLAZA 600 ATLANTIC AVENUE BOSTON, MA 02210-2211 EXAMINER VU, QUANG D

PAPER NUMBER

2811

ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		M
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/658,215	ROY, FRANCOIS
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Quang D Vu	2811
The MAILING DATE of this communication appriod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the (correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
atus		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.
sposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraws 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 		
plication Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
	•	

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3)

Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>09/09/03</u> .	

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Other: _____

Period for Reply

Status

4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

Disposition of Claims

Application Papers

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

Figures 1-4 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA).

Regarding claim 1, AAPA (figures 1-4) teaches a monolithic photodetector comprising: a first active area (10) of doped single-crystal silicon corresponding to first and second photodiodes having a same surface area as two charge transfer MOS transistors, and as one

Art Unit: 2811

storage diode, a cathode of each photodiode being connected to a cathode of the storage diode via one of the charge transfer MOS transistors;

a second active area (18) of doped single-crystal silicon arranged next to a portion of the first active area associated with the second photodiode and corresponding to a precharge switch having a first terminal connected to the cathode of the storage diode and a second terminal connected to a reference voltage; and

a third active (20) doped single-crystal silicon area arranged next to the portion of the first active area associated with the first photodiode and corresponding to two read MOS transistors in series, the gate of one of the read transistors being connected to the cathode of the storage diode and the drain or the source of one of the read transistors being connected to a processing system.

AAPA differs from the claimed invention by not showing the surfaces of the second and third active areas exposed to a lighting are substantially identical. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the surfaces of the second and third active areas exposed to a lighting are substantially identical since they use to receive a lighting.

Regarding claim 2, AAPA differs from the claimed invention by not showing the second and third active areas have substantially identical surface areas. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the second and third active areas have substantially identical surface areas since they use to receive a lighting.

Regarding claim 3, AAPA differs from the claimed invention by not showing the first, second, and third active areas are rectangular, the second and third active areas being of same dimensions and substantially aligned at a same distance from a side of the first active area. It

Art Unit: 2811

would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the first, second, and third active areas are rectangular, the second and third active areas being of same dimensions and substantially aligned at a same distance from a side of the first active area because it uses to receive lighting. Furthermore, it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Regarding claim 8, AAPA teaches the gates of the charge transfer MOS transistors correspond to portions of polysilicon strips, which extend between the second and third active areas.

3. Claim 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of US Patent No. 6,392,279 to Toyofuku.

Regarding claim 4, AAPA differs from the claimed invention by not showing the precharge switch is a MOS transistor with two parallel gates. However, Toyofuku (figures 1A-2C) teaches MOS transistor with dual gates (7g). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Toyofuku into the device taught by AAPA because it provides more interconnections with other devices. The combined device shows the precharge switch is a MOS transistor with two parallel gates.

Regarding claim 5, the combined device differs from the claimed invention by not showing the gates of the two read transistors correspond to portions of first and second polysilicon strips and wherein the two gates of the MOS transistor with two gates correspond to

Art Unit: 2811

portions of third and fourth parallel polysilicon strips, the sum of the surface areas exposed to light of the third and fourth parallel polysilicon strips being substantially equal to the sum of the surface areas exposed to light of the first and second polysilicon strips. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the gates of the two read transistors correspond to portions of first and second polysilicon strips and wherein the two gates of the MOS transistor with two gates correspond to portions of third and fourth parallel polysilicon strips, the sum of the surface areas exposed to light of the third and fourth parallel polysilicon strips being substantially equal to the sum of the surface areas exposed to light of the first and second polysilicon strips because it uses to receive lighting.

Regarding claim 6, the combined device shows a fifth polysilicon strip, perpendicular to the third and fourth parallel polysilicon strips, connects the third and fourth parallel strips.

Regarding claim 7, the combined device shows a metal strip connected to the fifth polysilicon strip, said metal strip comprising an extension partially covering the second polysilicon strip.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quang D Vu whose telephone number is 571-272-1667. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on 571-272-1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2811

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

qv June 25, 2004

> Sara Crane Primary Examiner

Page 6